4 × Mute This Topic (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/fl/7637993?csrf=5513314409256117711&mute=1&p=Created%2C%2C%2C0%2C1%2C20%2C0%3A%3A%2C%2C%2C0%2C0%2C0%2C7637993)

/ 🖵 Topics (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/topics?p=,,,0,0,0,7637993) / 🗣 How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

How to Date ^ (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/topic/7637993?p=Created%2C%2C%2C0%2C0%2C0%3A%3A%2C%2C%2C0%2C0%2C0%2C7637993) Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

david_jackson@...

2007-06-07 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28879)

There is a fast risetime accessory that is used with the PG506 for the faster scopes- I don't recall the part number. It is a tunnel diode that is triggered by the regular output to get <1ns rise times. The rise time of a 1 GHz scope is 350ps, so you have to be faster than that to accurately characterize the scope risetime without calculations. Generally, the recommendation is 5X faster.

Thanks,

Dave WA4OBJ

| ♠ Reply | u Like | ≡м |
|--|--------|---|
| • | | 2007-06-07 Ø (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/288 |
| samsagazzzz | | |
| How much you think that can cost the | | |
| an TM504 mainframe + PG506/A Standard Amplitude generator + TG501/A | | |
| Time mark generator + SG503 250MHz levelled sine wave generator + | | |
| SG504 1050MHz levelled sine wave generator. ? | | |
| im preparing the list of stuff that i need to purchase, for example i | | |
| write the list of small and cheap connectors | | |
| 2 x 50 ohms BNC Cable 012-0482-00 | | |
| 2 x 50 ohms BNC Cable 012-0208-00 | | |
| 2 x 50 ohms Feedthrough Termination 011-0049-01 | | |
| 2 x Dual Banana to BNC Adapter 103-0090-00 | | |
| 2 x BNC T 103-0030-00 | | |
| 2 x Coupler Dual-Input 067-0525-02 | | |
| take these products from 2 of my service manuals. Will read other | | |
| manual to see if need some other accesories. | | |
| | | |
| I really dont and CANT spend 10.000+ Dollars in equipment, i noticed | | |
| that the products that recommend tektronix Service Manual are damn | | |
| expensive (+15k) | | |
| i want an alternative an cheap one, but are scared if i purchase via | | |
| ebay some of the ones that you recommend me and are in bad conditions. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| In TekScopes@, "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@></denis.cobley@> | | |
| | | |
| The "standard' inside Tek for the last 30 years for manual | | |
| | | |
| calibrations has been a TM504 mainframe + PG506/A Standard Amplitude | | |
| generator + TG501/A Time mark generator + SG503 250MHz levelled sine | | |
| wave generator + SG504 1050MHz levelled sine wave generator. | | |
| This will allow you to cal scopes up to 1GHz (95% of all scopes out | | |
| | | |
| there). | | |

Most of the manuals would call for this package.

You could opt for the CG5011 + SG5030 + TM5006 mainframe but these

are normally more expensive.

You do get the advantage of programmability if this is needed

(Metcal software can drive these).

This will give you the same capabilities as the other package but

only to 500MHz.

If cost is a real problem you can use a DC power supply and a

multimeter to set levels for vertical cal and a function generator + counter for the timebase and forget the bandwidth tests.

Regards

Denis

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...]

On Behalf Of samsagazzzz

Sent: Wednesday, 6 June 2007 3:08 PM To: TekScopes@... Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

well i need to calibrate some old OSC like 2246 (100mhz) and maybe 2465.

but mainly i want to calibrate 100mhz ones (like 2246).

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com> , "Lars Ahlstrom" <lea56@> wrote:

| rou need to defina WHAT osc[illoscope] you want to | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

calibrate.

Is it the 500-series, the 400-series, the 5000 series, the 7000

series, the

22xx series, 23xx series, the 34xx series, the TDS series or

maybe

a 200

series handheld?

Depending on what series, the more sophisticated instruments you

need. And,

timing instruments has to be calibrated in turn with a good

timing

normal.

As well as the level calibrator. Has to be calibrated with a good

normal.

One way is to buy a bunch of old super precision instruments and

see if they

all agree on levels / timing values.

If they do, you can bet they are correct within the specs you

need.. (again

depending on what series od scope)

F.ex. I purchased a Racal Dana counter that has a super precise

ovenized

10MHz oscillator. But it's the only one that is not correct of

all

my

frequency counters.. =)

I am thinking of calibrating it with my old Trio counter. Hahaha.

No seriously, one need to calibrate such an instrument and it

costs

| ome | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| \$200 to do it. But then you have perfection in house | | | |
| | | | |
| Good luck, | | | |
| | | | |
| Lars | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Ursprungligt meddelande | | | |
| Från: TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></mailto:tekscopes%<> | | | |
| | | | |
| oogroups.com> [mailto:TekScopes@ o:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com>] | | | |
| br | | | |
| samsagazzzz | | | |
| Skickat: den 6 juni 2007 00:50 | | | |
| TIII: TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></mailto:tekscopes%<> | | | |

40yahoogroups.com>

| Ämne: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC | | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Hey guys, i want to purchase ALL that i need to calibrate an C | DSC. | |
| Can someone please tellme if exist some text/manual that hel | q | |
| me/tech | | |
| how calibrate and OSC, and wich are the tools that i need to d | ot | |
| that | | |
| job. Thanks in Advance. | | |
| [Non-text portions of this message have been removed] | | |
| ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange h | ave | |
| been scanned by SOPHOS ****** | | |
| ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange has | ave | |
| [Non-text portions of this message have been removed] | | |
| s Reply | ı ģ Like | ≡Mor |
| samsagazzzz | | 2007-06-07 Ø (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/2889 |
| well i would like to purchase some super precise stuff but dont know which are :) | | |
| In TekScopes@, "Lars Ahlstrom" <lea56@> wrote:</lea56@> | | |
| First of all you need to defina WHAT osc[illoscope] you want to | | |
| calibrate. | | |
| Is it the 500-series, the 400-series, the 5000 series, the 7000 | | |
| series, the | | |
| 22xx series, 23xx series, the 34xx series, the TDS series or mayb | ie | |

a 200

series handheld?

Depending on what series, the more sophisticated instruments you

need. And,

timing instruments has to be calibrated in turn with a good timing

normal.

As well as the level calibrator. Has to be calibrated with a good

normal

One way is to buy a bunch of old super precision instruments and

see if they

all agree on levels / timing values.

If they do, you can bet they are correct within the specs you

need (again

depending on what series od scope)

F.ex. I purchased a Racal Dana counter that has a super precise

ovenized

10MHz oscillator. But it's the only one that is not correct of all

my

frequency counters.... =)

I am thinking of calibrating it with my old Trio counter... Hahaha...

No seriously, one need to calibrate such an instrument and it costs

some

\$200 to do it. But then you have perfection in house.

Good luck,

Lars

-----Ursprungligt meddelande-----Från: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...]

För

samsagazzzz

| Skickat: den 6 juni 2007 00:50 | | | |
|--|--------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ämne: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC | | | |
| | | | |
| Hey guys, i want to purchase ALL that i need to calibrate an OSC. | | | |
| Can someone please tellme if exist some text/manual that help | | | |
| | | | |
| me/tech | | | |
| | | | |
| that | | | |
| job. Thanks in Advance. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| [Non-text portions of this message have been removed] | | | |
| | | | |
| ◆ Reply | ı Like | | ≡ More |
| samsagazzzz | | 2007-06-07 6 (https://g | roups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28890) |
| | | | |
| TM504 you get the same result than using the Fluke 5500A/SC600 ? | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| In TekScopes@, "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@></denis.cobley@> | | | |
| wrote: | | | |
| Hi Jonny | | | |
| The PG506/A can deliver sub 1ns rise/fall times - that's what the | | | |
| | | | |
| other | | | |
| 2 BNC's are for. | | | |
| I have tested several and I have seen some that are around 700ps (measured on a 7K sampling system with an S6 head) | | | |
| If you have a 7K sampling system with the S52 head you can get sub | | | |
| | | | |
| 30ps | | | |
| rise times. | | | |
| The CG with skewed markers can simulate timebase markers to 2GHz but this is no good on a DSO. | | | |
| Non commercial low budget cal's can be done with good accuracy | | | |
| | | | |
| using a | | | |

benches or

amateur radio shacks.

Most non commercial owners don't need to test risetime / bandwidth

and

adjusting such without good cal gear and knowledge is not advised.

We use a number of systems in our operation.

A Tek Scopecal fully automated system + Fluke 5500A/SC600 (meter calibrator with scope option) running Metcal, CG5011/SG5030 also

running

Metcal or manually + a couple of PG506 / TG501/ SG503 / SG504 /

TM504

packages.

We find it's horses for courses - 99% of DSO's we do with the

software

based systems - the analogue scopes we do manually.

The automated systems are great for volume - start it up and walk

away -

come back later and print out the data report / certificate - all

done!

Regards

Denis in Oz

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...]

On

Behalf Of Johnny Chapman Sent: Wednesday, 6 June 2007 8:37 PM To: Tekscopes Subject: RE: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

I may be mistaken, however a CG5011 will give you tests to perform that will allow 2GHz performance in calibrating timebases and the same performance if not better than a PG506/A for amplitude level adjustments, risetime, transient response,

The CG5010 would be a better match; however, a CG5001 or CG551AP would do it also.

Tek CG5 series however lack bandwidth testing facilities (leveled sinewave outputs).

The separates are definitely the way to go most of the time. Just maintaining a CG along with its cal costs are steep. Heads are hard to find and expensive. Extender cards,

If one gets a CG, however, you can pair it with a SG503 or -504, yes the SG5030 or the -5050.

I'm trying to get a CG 551AP/5001 (rise and fall times given as <= 1.3ns) up and running to pair with an existing SG503. Then I will add an SG504. I've got the heads but need to make some repairs on the CG. For risetime measurements, in particular, I'm awaiting a Tektronix 067-1094-99 Pulse Generator with risetime less than or equal to 400ps.

On a side not, the best risetime figure a PG506/A can do terminated into 500hms is 10ns. The risetime of my 2465 DVS (300 MHz) is given as 1.17ns for most of the vertical deflection range.

So, I'd suggest taking a look at the specs of your scope, 2246, a catalog or manual of some of the generators, ... and see what'cha need.

Man, a CG5010 or -5011 can do <=200ps transition times!

Hey, they are all fine instruments; be methodical, and know how much you willing to sacrifice for maintaining those standards, generators,

Also know how good a cal you need, what is most important,

Take care group.

Pinpoint customers who are looking for what you sell. http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)>

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been

scanned by SOPHOS *******

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

6/27/22, 9:50 AM

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

🕈 Reply

💼 Like

2007-06-07 Ø (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28892)

≡ More

Denis Cobley <denis.cobley@...>

Hi Sam

I am sure there are a number of guys on this list who may have some spare units that are OK and they want to sell.

If not - try this site - Walter is a good guys and has lots of bits for sale and a lot of good info.

http://www.sphere.bc.ca/test/index.html (http://www.sphere.bc.ca/test/index.html)

Regards

Denis

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...] On Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Friday, 8 June 2007 4:06 PM To: TekScopes@... Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

How much you think that can cost the

an TM504 mainframe + PG506/A Standard Amplitude generator + TG501/A Time mark generator + SG503 250MHz levelled sine wave generator + SG504 1050MHz levelled sine wave generator. ?

im preparing the list of stuff that i need to purchase, for example i write the list of small and cheap connectors

2 x 50 ohms BNC Cable 012-0482-00

2 x 50 ohms BNC Cable 012-0208-00

2 x 50 ohms Feedthrough Termination 011-0049-01

2 x Dual Banana to BNC Adapter 103-0090-00

2 x BNC T 103-0030-00

2 x Coupler Dual-Input 067-0525-02

take these products from 2 of my service manuals. Will read other manual to see if need some other accesories.

I really dont and CANT spend 10.000+ Dollars in equipment, i noticed that the products that recommend tektronix Service Manual are damn expensive (+15k)

i want an alternative an cheap one, but are scared if i purchase via ebay some of the ones that you recommend me and are in bad conditions.

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> , "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@...> wrote:

The "standard' inside Tek for the last 30 years for manual

calibrations has been a TM504 mainframe + PG506/A Standard Amplitude generator + TG501/A Time mark generator + SG503 250MHz levelled sine wave generator + SG504 1050MHz levelled sine wave generator.

This will allow you to cal scopes up to 1GHz (95% of all scopes out

there).

Most of the manuals would call for this package.

You could opt for the CG5011 + SG5030 + TM5006 mainframe but these

are normally more expensive.

You do get the advantage of programmability if this is needed

(Metcal software can drive these).

This will give you the same capabilities as the other package but

only to 500MHz.

If cost is a real problem you can use a DC power supply and a

multimeter to set levels for vertical cal and a function generator + counter for the timebase and forget the bandwidth tests.

Regards

Denis

From: TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com>]

On Behalf Of samsagazzzz

Sent: Wednesday, 6 June 2007 3:08 PM To: TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

well i need to calibrate some old OSC like 2246 (100mhz) and maybe 2465.

but mainly i want to calibrate 100mhz ones (like 2246).

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com> , "Lars Ahlstrom" <lea56@> wrote:

|--|

calibrate.

Is it the 500-series, the 400-series, the 5000 series, the 7000

series, the

22xx series, 23xx series, the 34xx series, the TDS series or

maybe

a 200

series handheld?

Depending on what series, the more sophisticated instruments you

need. And,

timing instruments has to be calibrated in turn with a good

timing

normal.

As well as the level calibrator. Has to be calibrated with a good

normal.

One way is to buy a bunch of old super precision instruments and

see if they

all agree on levels / timing values.

If they do, you can bet they are correct within the specs you

need.. (again

depending on what series od scope)

F.ex. I purchased a Racal Dana counter that has a super precise

ovenized

10MHz oscillator. But it's the only one that is not correct of

all

my

frequency counters.. =)

I am thinking of calibrating it with my old Trio counter. Hahaha.

No seriously, one need to calibrate such an instrument and it

costs

| 200 to do it. But then y | have perfection in house | |
|---|---|--|
| S200 to do it. But then y | a have perfection in house. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Good luck, | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ars. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| l Irsprungligt meddel | nde | |
| Från: TekScopes@ <r< td=""><td>ailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:tekscopes%< td=""><td></td></mailto:tekscopes%<></td></r<> | ailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:tekscopes%< td=""><td></td></mailto:tekscopes%<> | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| groups.com> [mailto:Te | Scopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com></mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> | |
| ekScopes%40yahoogr | ips.com>] | |

samsagazzzz Skickat: den 6 juni 2007 00:50 Till: TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com>

| Ämne: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tek | xtronix OSC | |
|---|---|---|
| Hey guys, i want to purchase ALL that i nee | d to calibrate an OSC. | |
| Can someone please tellme if exist some te | xt/manual that help | |
| me/tech | | |
| how calibrate and OSC, and wich are the to | ols that i need to do | |
| that | | |
| job. Thanks in Advance. | | |
| [Non-text portions of this message have bee | en removed] | |
| ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty | Ltd's Exchange have | |
| | | |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ******* | | |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ****** ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ****** | Ltd's Exchange have | |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ****** ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ****** [Non-text portions of this message have been re **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] 's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ****** | |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ****** ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ****** [Non-text portions of this message have been re **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* | |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ****** ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ****** [Non-text portions of this message have been re ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply mis Cobley <denis.cobley@></denis.cobley@> | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* the Like 2003 | ≡ Ma 7-06-07 |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ******* ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ******* [Non-text portions of this message have been re ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply enis Cobley <denis.cobley@> r scopes that are calibrated manually yes - excep to 1000MHz with the PG+ package.</denis.cobley@> | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] 's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* 's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Like 2003 t you can do scopes | ≡ M 7-06-07 |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ******* ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ******* [Non-text portions of this message have been re ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply nis Cobley <denis.cobley@> r scopes that are calibrated manually yes - excep to 1000MHz with the PG+ package. e Fluke 5500A/SC600 can only do bandwidth to 6 bund \$40,000)</denis.cobley@> | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* Like Like 2003 t you can do scopes S00MHz (and will cost | ≡ M 7-06-07 |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ******* ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ****** [Non-text portions of this message have been re **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply mis Cobley <denis.cobley@> r scopes that are calibrated manually yes - excep to 1000MHz with the PG+ package. e Fluke 5500A/SC600 can only do bandwidth to 6 bund \$40,000) rep in mind that Tek sold the PG506 and similar m ,000-10,000 each so in their day they were also e</denis.cobley@> | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] emoved] s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ****** s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ****** Like 2007 t you can do scopes S00MHz (and will cost expensive. | ■ M 7-06-07 |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ******* ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ******* [Non-text portions of this message have been re ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply enis Cobley <denis.cobley@> r scopes that are calibrated manually yes - excep to 1000MHz with the PG+ package. e Fluke 5500A/SC600 can only do bandwidth to 6 bund \$40,000) ep in mind that Tek sold the PG506 and similar m ,000-10,000 each so in their day they were also e ere are a lot of them around the used market bec mpanies have upgraded to the Fluke 9500 or 550 520A/SC1100)</denis.cobley@> | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] semoved] s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* by SOPHOS ******* Like 2007 t you can do scopes S00MHz (and will cost bodules for expensive. ause Tek and other 0A/SC600 or similar | ≡ M 7-06-07 |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ******* ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ******* [Non-text portions of this message have been re **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' **** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply mis Cobley <denis.cobley@> r scopes that are calibrated manually yes - excep to 1000MHz with the PG+ package. e Fluke 5500A/SC600 can only do bandwidth to 6 bund \$40,000) ep in mind that Tek sold the PG506 and similar m ,000-10,000 each so in their day they were also e ere are a lot of them around the used market bec mpanies have upgraded to the Fluke 9500 or 550 520A/SC1100)</denis.cobley@> | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* Like 2003 t you can do scopes S00MHz (and will cost ause Tek and other 0A/SC600 or similar | ≡ M 7-06-07 |
| en scanned by SOPHOS ******* ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty en scanned by SOPHOS ******* [Non-text portions of this message have been re ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' ***** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd' Reply enis Cobley <denis.cobley@> r scopes that are calibrated manually yes - excep to 1000MHz with the PG+ package. e Fluke 5500A/SC600 can only do bandwidth to 6 bund \$40,000) ep in mind that Tek sold the PG506 and similar m ,000-10,000 each so in their day they were also e ere are a lot of them around the used market bec mpanies have upgraded to the Fluke 9500 or 550 520A/SC1100) egards</denis.cobley@> | Ltd's Exchange have emoved] semoved] s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* s Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* by Like 2007 t you can do scopes S00MHz (and will cost ause Tek and other 0A/SC600 or similar | E M 7-06-07 ✔ (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/288 |

6/27/22, 9:50 AM

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...] On Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Friday, 8 June 2007 4:11 PM To: TekScopes@... Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

Sorry for my ignorance Denis, but with PG506 / TG501/ SG503 / SG504 / TM504 you get the same result than using the Fluke 5500A/SC600 ?

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> , "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@...> wrote:

Hi Jonny

The PG506/A can deliver sub 1ns rise/fall times - that's what the

other

2 BNC's are for.

I have tested several and I have seen some that are around 700ps (measured on a 7K sampling system with an S6 head).

If you have a 7K sampling system with the S52 head you can get sub

30ps

rise times.

The CG with skewed markers can simulate timebase markers to 2GHz but this is no good on a DSO.

Non commercial low budget cal's can be done with good accuracy

using a

DMM / power supply + sig gen / counter - often found on hobby

benches or

amateur radio shacks.

Most non commercial owners don't need to test risetime / bandwidth

and

adjusting such without good cal gear and knowledge is not advised.

We use a number of systems in our operation.

A Tek Scopecal fully automated system + Fluke 5500A/SC600 (meter calibrator with scope option) running Metcal, CG5011/SG5030 also

running

Metcal or manually + a couple of PG506 / TG501/ SG503 / SG504 /

TM504

packages.

We find it's horses for courses - 99% of DSO's we do with the

software

based systems - the analogue scopes we do manually.

The automated systems are great for volume - start it up and walk

away -

come back later and print out the data report / certificate - all

done!

Regards

Denis in Oz

From: TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com>

[mailto:TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com>]

On

Behalf Of Johnny Chapman Sent: Wednesday, 6 June 2007 8:37 PM To: Tekscopes Subject: RE: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

I may be mistaken, however a CG5011 will give you tests to perform that will allow 2GHz performance in calibrating timebases and the same performance if not better than a PG506/A for amplitude level adjustments, risetime, transient response,

The CG5010 would be a better match; however, a CG5001 or CG551AP would do it also.

Tek CG5 series however lack bandwidth testing facilities (leveled sinewave outputs).

The separates are definitely the way to go most of the time. Just maintaining a CG along with its cal costs are steep. Heads are hard to find and expensive. Extender cards,

If one gets a CG, however, you can pair it with a SG503 or -504, yes the SG5030 or the -5050.

I'm trying to get a CG 551AP/5001 (rise and fall times given as <= 1.3ns) up and running to pair with an existing SG503. Then I will add an SG504. I've got the heads but need to make some repairs on the CG. For risetime measurements, in particular, I'm awaiting a Tektronix 067-1094-99 Pulse Generator with risetime less than or equal to 400ps.

On a side not, the best risetime figure a PG506/A can do terminated into 500hms is 10ns. The risetime of my 2465 DVS (300 MHz) is given as 1.17ns for most of the vertical deflection range.

So, I'd suggest taking a look at the specs of your scope, 2246, a catalog or manual of some of the generators, ... and see what'cha need.

Man, a CG5010 or -5011 can do <=200ps transition times!

Hey, they are all fine instruments; be methodical, and know how much you willing to sacrifice for maintaining those standards, generators,

Also know how good a cal you need, what is most important,

Take care group.

Pinpoint customers who are looking for what you sell. http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/) <http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)>

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/) <http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)>>

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been

scanned by SOPHOS *******

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have been

scanned by SOPHOS *******

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS *******

| ♠ Reply | 🖆 Like | | ≡ More |
|---|--------|------------|---|
| | | 2007-06-10 | (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28908) |
| samsagazzzz | | | |
| In TekScopes@, "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@> wrote:</denis.cobley@> | | | |
| For scopes that are calibrated manually yes - except you can do | | | |
| scopes | | | |
| up to 1000MHz with the PG+ package. | | | |
| The Fluke 5500A/SC600 can only do bandwidth to 600MHz (and will cost around \$40,000) | | | |
| Keep in mind that Tek sold the PG506 and similar modules for \$5,000-10,000 each so in their day they were also expensive. | | | |
| There are a lot of them around the used market because Tek and other companies have upgraded to the Fluke 9500 or 5500A/SC600 or similar (5520A/SC1100) | | | |
| Regards | | | |
| Denis | | | |
| | | | |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:TekScopes@] | | | |
| On | | | |
| Behalf Of samsagazzzz | | | |

Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Friday, 8 June 2007 4:11 PM To: TekScopes@... Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

Sorry for my ignorance Denis, but with PG506 / TG501/ SG503 /

SG504 /

TM504 you get the same result than using the Fluke 5500A/SC600 ?

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%

6/27/22, 9:50 AM

40yahoogroups.com>,

| ote: | |
|---------------|--|
| Hi Jo | nny |
| The F | PG506/A can deliver sub 1ns rise/fall times - that's what the |
| er | |
| 2 BN | C's are for. |
| l hav (mea | e tested several and I have seen some that are around 700ps sured on a 7K sampling system with an S6 head). |
| lf you | I have a 7K sampling system with the S52 head you can get |

sub

30ps

rise times.

The CG with skewed markers can simulate timebase markers to 2GHz

but

this is no good on a DSO.

Non commercial low budget cal's can be done with good accuracy

using a

DMM / power supply + sig gen / counter - often found on hobby

benches or

amateur radio shacks.

Most non commercial owners don't need to test risetime /

bandwidth

| and | |
|--|----------------|
| adjusting such without good cal gear and knowledge is not advi | ised. |
| We use a number of systems in our operation. | |
| A Tek Scopecal fully automated system + Fluke 5500A/SC600 (calibrator with scope option) running Metcal, CG5011/SG5030 a | (meter also |
| unning | |
| Metcal or manually + a couple of PG506 / TG501/ SG503 / SG5 | 504 / |
| rM504 | |
| packages. | |
| We find it's horses for courses - 99% of DSO's we do with the | |
| software | |
| based systems - the analogue scopes we do manually. | |
| | |
| The automated systems are great for volume - start it up and wa | alk |
| away | |
| come back later and print out the data report / certificate - all | |
| lone! | |
| | |
| Regards | |
| Denis in Oz | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| From: TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%< td=""><td></td></mailto:tekscopes%<> | |

40yahoogroups.com>

[mailto:TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com>]

On

Behalf Of Johnny Chapman Sent: Wednesday, 6 June 2007 8:37 PM To: Tekscopes Subject: RE: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

I may be mistaken, however a CG5011 will give you tests to perform that will allow 2GHz performance in calibrating timebases and the same performance if not better than a PG506/A for amplitude level adjustments, risetime, transient response,

The CG5010 would be a better match; however, a CG5001 or CG551AP would do it also.

Tek CG5 series however lack bandwidth testing facilities (leveled sinewave outputs).

The separates are definitely the way to go most of the time. Just maintaining a CG along with its cal costs are steep. Heads are hard to find and expensive. Extender cards,

If one gets a CG, however, you can pair it with a SG503 or -504, yes the SG5030 or the -5050.

I'm trying to get a CG 551AP/5001 (rise and fall times given as <= 1.3ns) up and running to pair with an existing SG503. Then I will add an SG504. I've got the heads but need to make some repairs on the CG. For risetime measurements, in particular, I'm awaiting a Tektronix 067-1094-99 Pulse Generator with risetime less than or equal to 400ps.

On a side not, the best risetime figure a PG506/A can do terminated into 500hms is 10ns. The risetime of my 2465 DVS (300 MHz) is given as 1.17ns for most of the vertical deflection range.

So, I'd suggest taking a look at the specs of your scope, 2246, a catalog or manual of some of the generators, ... and see what'cha need.

Man, a CG5010 or -5011 can do <=200ps transition times!

Hey, they are all fine instruments; be methodical, and know how much you willing to sacrifice for maintaining those standards, generators,

Also know how good a cal you need, what is most important,

Take care group.

Pinpoint customers who are looking for what you sell. http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)>

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)> >

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange

have

been

scanned by SOPHOS ******* ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange

have

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been

scanned by SOPHOS ******* ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Reply

👍 Like

≡ More

2007-06-10 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28909)

samsagazzzz

What about TM506? i noticed that this mainframe support 6 modules!

--- In TekScopes@..., "samsagazzzz" <samsagazzzz@...> wrote:

--- In TekScopes@..., "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@> wrote:

For scopes that are calibrated manually yes - except you can do

scopes

up to 1000MHz with the PG+ package.

The Fluke 5500A/SC600 can only do bandwidth to 600MHz (and will

cost

around \$40,000)

Keep in mind that Tek sold the PG506 and similar modules for \$5,000-10,000 each so in their day they were also expensive.

There are a lot of them around the used market because Tek and

other

companies have upgraded to the Fluke 9500 or 5500A/SC600 or

similar (5520A/SC1100) Regards Denis From: TekScopes@...

[mailto:TekScopes@...]

| Behalf Of samsag | azzzz | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| Sent: Friday, 8 Jui | ne 2007 4:11 PM | | | |
| To: TekScopes@. | | | | |
| Subject: Re: SV: [| [ekScopes] How to C | alibrate an Tektr | onix OSC | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

SG504 /

TM504 you get the same result than using the Fluke 5500A/SC600 ?

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com>,

"Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@> wrote:

Hi Jonny

The PG506/A can deliver sub 1ns rise/fall times - that's what

the

| oth | ner |
|-----|--|
| | 2 BNC's are for. |
| | I have tested several and I have seen some that are around 700ps |
| | (measured on a 7K sampling system with an S6 head). |
| | If you have a 7K sampling system with the S52 head you can get |
| | |
| ub | |
| 30 | ps |
| | rise times. |
| | The CG with skewed markers can simulate timebase markers to |
| | |
| | |
| 2 | |
| ut | |
| Γ | this is no good on a DSO. |

Non commercial low budget cal's can be done with good accuracy

using a

DMM / power supply + sig gen / counter - often found on hobby

benches or

amateur radio shacks.

Most non commercial owners don't need to test risetime /

bandwidth

and

adjusting such without good cal gear and knowledge is not

advised.

We use a number of systems in our operation.

A Tek Scopecal fully automated system + Fluke 5500A/SC600 (meter calibrator with scope option) running Metcal, CG5011/SG5030

also

| Metcal or m | nanually + a couple of PG506 | TG501/ SG503 / SG504 / | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------|------|
| | | | | |
| 04 | | | | |
| oackages. | | | | |
| We find it's | horses for courses - 99% of E | SO's we do with the | | |
| | | | | |
| vare | | | | |
| based syste | ems - the analogue scopes we | do manually. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| The autom | ated systems are great for vol | ume - start it up and | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

walk

away -

come back later and print out the data report / certificate -

all

| le! | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| Regards | |
| Denis in Oz | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| From: TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%< td=""><td></td></mailto:tekscopes%<> | |
| | |
| | |
| ogroups.com> | |

40yahoogroups.com>]

On

Behalf Of Johnny Chapman Sent: Wednesday, 6 June 2007 8:37 PM To: Tekscopes Subject: RE: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

I may be mistaken, however a CG5011 will give you tests to perform that will allow 2GHz performance in calibrating timebases and the same performance if not better than a PG506/A for amplitude level adjustments, risetime, transient response,

The CG5010 would be a better match; however, a CG5001 or CG551AP would do it also.

Tek CG5 series however lack bandwidth testing facilities (leveled sinewave outputs).

The separates are definitely the way to go most of the time. Just maintaining a CG along with its cal costs are steep. Heads are hard to find and expensive. Extender cards,

If one gets a CG, however, you can pair it with a SG503 or -504, yes the SG5030 or the -5050.

I'm trying to get a CG 551AP/5001 (rise and fall times given as <= 1.3ns) up and running to pair with an existing SG503. Then I will add an SG504. I've got the heads but need to make some repairs on the CG. For risetime measurements, in particular, I'm awaiting a Tektronix 067-1094-99 Pulse Generator with risetime less than or equal to 400ps.

On a side not, the best risetime figure a PG506/A can do terminated into 500hms is 10ns. The risetime of my 2465 DVS (300 MHz) is given as 1.17ns for most of the vertical deflection range.

So, I'd suggest taking a look at the specs of your scope, 2246, a catalog or manual of some of the generators, ... and see what'cha need.

Man, a CG5010 or -5011 can do <=200ps transition times!

Hey, they are all fine instruments; be methodical, and know how much you willing to sacrifice for maintaining those standards, generators,

Also know how good a cal you need, what is most important,

Take care group.

Pinpoint customers who are looking for what you sell. http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)>

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)> >

****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange

have

been

scanned by SOPHOS ******* ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange

have

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange

have

been

scanned by SOPHOS ****** ****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange

have

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

| Seply | 🖬 Like | ≡More |
|--|--------|--|
| J Forster <jfor@></jfor@> | | 2007-06-10 🔗 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28910) |
| You can use any of the TM-500 mainframes with the TG-501/PG-506/SG-50x, even the TM-501. I've never needed to use more than one of them at a time. The TM-503 and 504 are good choices though. The 504 gives you a slot for a DMM which is nice. The 506 is a bit big to lug around but goes in a rack well. | | |
| -John | | |
| samsagazzzz wrote: Show quoted text | | |
| ◆ Reply | 🖆 Like | ≡More |
| samsagazzzz | | 2007-06-11 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28917) |
| if i purhcase an CG5011 wich other modules i think? I found an guy | | |

that sell it for 700USD in Ebay.

i can save some bucks in shipping fee if purchase just one equipment from one guy instad purchase the mainframe + 3 or 4 modules :S

--- In TekScopes@..., Johnny Chapman <jones_chap@...> wrote:

I may be mistaken, however a CG5011 will give you tests to perform that will allow 2GHz performance in calibrating timebases and the same performance if not better than a PG506/A for amplitude level adjustments, risetime, transient response,

The CG5010 would be a better match; however, a CG5001 or CG551AP would do it also.

Tek CG5 series however lack bandwidth testing facilities (leveled sinewave outputs).

The separates are definitely the way to go most of the time. Just maintaining a CG along with its cal costs are steep. Heads are hard to find and expensive. Extender cards,

If one gets a CG, however, you can pair it with a SG503 or -504, yes the SG5030 or the -5050.

I'm trying to get a CG 551AP/5001 (rise and fall times given as <= 1.3ns) up and running to pair with an existing SG503. Then I will add an SG504. I've got the heads but need to make some repairs on the CG. For risetime measurements, in particular, I'm awaiting a Tektronix 067-1094-99 Pulse Generator with risetime less than or equal to 400ps.

On a side not, the best risetime figure a PG506/A can do terminated into 500hms is 10ns. The risetime of my 2465 DVS (300 MHz) is given as 1.17ns for most of the vertical deflection range.

So, I'd suggest taking a look at the specs of your scope, 2246, a catalog or manual of some of the generators, ... and see what'cha need.

Man, a CG5010 or -5011 can do <=200ps transition times!

Hey, they are all fine instruments; be methodical, and know how much you willing to sacrifice for maintaining those standards, generators,

Also know how good a cal you need, what is most important,

Take care group.

Pinpoint customers who are looking for what you sell. http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)

Reply

💼 Like

≡ More

6/27/22, 9:50 AM

Denis Cobley <denis.cobley@...>

TekScopes@groups.io | How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

2007-06-11 Of (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/28922)

Hi Sam

You will need a TM5006 to use that one (leaves room for the SG5030 500MHz levelled sinewave generator or SG503/504 package.

Regards

Denis

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...] On Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:24 PM To: TekScopes@... Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

if i purhcase an CG5011 wich other modules i think? I found an guy that sell it for 700USD in Ebay.

i can save some bucks in shipping fee if purchase just one equipment from one guy instad purchase the mainframe + 3 or 4 modules :S

---- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com> , Johnny Chapman <jones_chap@...> wrote: I may be mistaken, however a CG5011 will give you tests to perform that will allow 2GHz performance in calibrating timebases and the same performance if not better than a PG506/A for amplitude level adjustments, risetime, transient response,

The CG5010 would be a better match; however, a CG5001 or CG551AP would do it also.

Tek CG5 series however lack bandwidth testing facilities (leveled sinewave outputs).

The separates are definitely the way to go most of the time. Just maintaining a CG along with its cal costs are steep. Heads are hard to find and expensive. Extender cards,

If one gets a CG, however, you can pair it with a SG503 or -504, yes the SG5030 or the -5050.

I'm trying to get a CG 551AP/5001 (rise and fall times given as <= 1.3ns) up and running to pair with an existing SG503. Then I will add an SG504. I've got the heads but need to make some repairs on the CG. For risetime measurements, in particular, I'm awaiting a Tektronix 067-1094-99 Pulse Generator with risetime less than or equal to 400ps.

On a side not, the best risetime figure a PG506/A can do terminated into 500hms is 10ns. The risetime of my 2465 DVS (300 MHz) is given as 1.17ns for most of the vertical deflection range.

So, I'd suggest taking a look at the specs of your scope, 2246, a catalog or manual of some of the generators, ... and see what'cha need.

Man, a CG5010 or -5011 can do <=200ps transition times!

Hey, they are all fine instruments; be methodical, and know how much you willing to sacrifice for maintaining those standards, generators,

Also know how good a cal you need, what is most important,

Take care group.

Pinpoint customers who are looking for what you sell. http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/) <http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)>

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******

****** All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS *******

Reply

💼 Like

■ More

samsagazzzz

2007-06-28 O (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/29271)

6/27/22, 9:50 AM

Is not possible to use products from other brand like Hewlett Packard ? i was not lucky finding all the modules that i need to calibrate the Tektronix OSC, want to know if purchase some products from HP will be possible.

Regards

Sam

--- In TekScopes@..., "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@...> wrote:

Hi Sam

You will need a TM5006 to use that one (leaves room for the SG5030 500MHz levelled sinewave generator or SG503/504 package.

Regards

Denis

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...]

On

Behalf Of samsagazzzz

Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:24 PM To: TekScopes@... Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

if i purhcase an CG5011 wich other modules i think? I found an guy that sell it for 700USD in Ebay.

i can save some bucks in shipping fee if purchase just one

equipment

from one guy instad purchase the mainframe + 3 or 4 modules :S

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com>,

| 9:50 AM | TekScopes@groups.io How to Cali | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| hnny Chapman <jones_chap@> ote:</jones_chap@> | | | |
| I may be mistaken, however a CG5011 will give you tests to perform that will allow 2GHz performance in calibrating timebases and the same performance if not better than a PG506/A for amplitude level adjustments, risetime, transient response, | | | |
| The CG5010 would be a better match; however, a CG50 or CG551AP would do it also. | 001 | | |
| Tek CG5 series however lack bandwidth testing facilities (leveled sinewave outputs). | | | |
| The separates are definitely the way to go most of the time. Just maintaining a CG along with its cal costs are steep. Heads are hard to find and expensive. Extender cards, | | | |
| If one gets a CG, however, you can pair it with a SG503 or -504, yes the SG5030 or the -5050. | | | |
| I'm trying to get a CG 551AP/5001 (rise and fall times given as <= 1.3ns) up and running to pair with an existing SG503. Then I will add an SG504. I've got the heads but need to make some repairs on the CG. For risetime measurements, in particular, I'm awaiting a Tektronix 067-1094-99 Pulse Generator with risetime less than or equal to 400ps. | | | |
| On a side not, the best risetime figure a PG506/A can do terminated into 50Ohms is 10ns. The risetime of my 2465 DVS (300 MHz) is given as 1.17ns for most of the vertical deflection range. | | | |
| So, I'd suggest taking a look at the specs of your scope, 2246, a catalog or manual of some of the generators, and see what'cha need. | | | |
| Man, a CG5010 or -5011 can do <=200ps transition times! | | | |
| Hey, they are all fine instruments; be methodical, and know how much you willing to sacrifice for maintaining those standards, generators, | | | |
| Also know how good a cal you need, what is most important, | | | |
| Take care group. | | | |
| | | | |
| Pinpoint customers who are looking for what you sell. http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketi | ing.yahoo.com/) | | |

<http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/ (http://searchmarketing.yahoo.com/)>

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been

| Seply | 🖆 Like | | ≡ More |
|--|--------|--|---------------|
| | | | |
| been scanned by SOPHOS ****** | | | |
| scanned by SOPHOS ******* ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have | | | |

Ashton Brown <ashton@...>

2007-06-28 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/29274)

Sam, I think the same 'rules' apply across any brand: the general idea that, you want a signal source to be capable of better accuracy than what you're testing (and hopefully: calibrated by Yet Another Better unit !! so as to achieve its stated accuracy / via suitable precision of calibration.) Seems simple, but

Discretion about the 'simple' scheme is also needed:

for some purposes, a 'standard' need not be better than say, 3-4X of the DUT (device under test.) But where you need to use an instrument (scope) near its limits - you might need a 8-10X-better specification. I'm sorry, but -- "mensuration" (measuring stuff) is concerned with statistical methods and sometimes a lot of math/physics: especially in the last few decimal points.

There's no easy slogan that covers all projects. Remember though, that a scope is a nom. "3%" device, typically. They can be 'tweaked' to achieve ~1% on most Teks. Crystal timebases (as in some hP units, later Tek models) and outboard counters are what you use <with the scope> -when 3% isn't good enough. Similarly: any precision DC power supply can verify the accuracy of all the attenuation steps - you don't *need* to use the specific generators, handy as these are.

As to the "levelled" sine wave gens, used to verify bandwidth of a scope:

if you lack one, do remember that you can employ a different approach to this problem -- use of a fast-enough, clean pulse generator and rather simple math, can verify 'Risetime', surely to around "one dB difference" in sinewave terminology, say. The only algebra needed to convert this figure to 'bandwidth' is: a 350 MHz scope will be capable of a "1 nSec rise time." (You can even say it without 'algebra';-)

(You use the "square root of the sum-of-the-squares" approach.)

The faster your test pulse, the less the %error, when you "subtract out" the claimed/verified Tr of the pulser itself, since what you see on the scope display IS: The rms (rootmean-square) summation of the various risetimes; those of the generator itself, any cabling mismatches, the scope preamp.. on through final vertical amp and the CRT's own limits.

Finally - I'm not sure where you are heading with all this (?) It can never/rarely? make economic sense to acquire a complete set of calibration equipment: to calibrate one scope! (even periodically.) This becomes more certain: the faster the one scope you need calibrated. Can you borrow, rent a generator or two - or farm out the scope calibration?

See, many regulars here possess truly phenomenal arrays of equipment, personal labs which --10+ years ago -- would have cost \$100K USD (some, much more than that figure - a Spec Analyzer alone could cost 30-60K.) But to repair audio amps, for one example: requires neither this precision nor, much of an array of equipment -- unless you mean to design something with vanishing levels of THD or Intermodulation distortion -- from scratch.

That would make you a fledgling engineer (?) If so: then, carry on collecting neat-o equipment. It's what Engineers Do, especially when it's being given away: like nowadays.

My perhaps too-many-ï¿1/2 worth

Luck, Ashton

samsagazzzz wrote:

| s not possible to use products from now if purchase some products fror | er brand like Hewlett Packard ? i was not lucky finding all the modules that i need to calibrate the Tektronix OSC, want to P will be possible. |
|---|---|
| Regards | |
| Jan | |
| In TekScopes@, "Denis Cobley | lenis.cobley@> wrote: |
| Hi Sam | |
| You will need a TM5006 to use t 500MHz levelled sinewave gene | one (leaves room for the SG5030 or or SG503/504 package. |
| Regards | |
| Denis | |
| | |
| | |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te | opes@] |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te | popes@] |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te)n Behalf Of samsagazzzz | ropes@] |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te)n Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:2 To: TekScopes@ | opes@] M |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te On Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:2 To: TekScopes@ Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] Ho | opes@] M o Calibrate an Tektronix OSC |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te)n Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:2 To: TekScopes@ Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] Ho if i purhcase an CG5011 wich ot | modules i think? I found an guy that sell it for 700USD in Ebay. |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te)n Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:2 To: TekScopes@ Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] Ho if i purhcase an CG5011 wich oth i can save some bucks in shippin | mopes@] M to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC modules i think? I found an guy that sell it for 700USD in Ebay. ee if purchase just one |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te)n Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:2 To: TekScopes@ Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] Ho if i purhcase an CG5011 wich ott i can save some bucks in shippin quipment | mopes@] M to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC modules i think? I found an guy that sell it for 700USD in Ebay. ee if purchase just one |
| From: TekScopes@ [mailto:Te)n Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:2 To: TekScopes@ Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] Ho if i purhcase an CG5011 wich ott i can save some bucks in shippir | modules i think? I found an guy that sell it for 700USD in Ebay. ee if purchase just one |

------ snip >------ save old Tekscope posts ------

| ♠ Reply | 🗯 Like | ≡More |
|--|--------|--|
| Denis Cobley <denis.cobley@></denis.cobley@> | | 2007-06-28 Ø (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/29275) |

Nicely put Ashton

Also if you own that cal gear you need to get it calibrated too - which requires more gear of higher accuracy - called the Traceability chain.

For a one off use a DMM and adjustable power supply for vertical gain - most DMM's have very good specs on DC compared to a scope.

Bandwidth - not important as you either have enough or not.

Timebase - most of the earlier Tek scopes had Calibrator Out functions - the 24XX range could produce crystal accurate outputs from 5Hz to 5MHz - perfect for checking timebases on any scope.

The supply mains is also very accurate compared to a scope to the output from any AC wall wart or LV transformer will give you a good stable 50/60Hz (or 100/120 rectified).

However, if you need to adjust the scope then often you need the right gear.

Tek scopes seldom need adjustment unless repairs have been done to calibrated sections of the scope.

Regards

Denis

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...] On Behalf Of Ashton Brown Sent: Friday, 29 June 2007 9:19 AM To: Tekscopes Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

Sam, I think the same 'rules' apply across any brand: the general idea that, you want a signal source to be capable of better accuracy than what you're testing (and hopefully: calibrated by Yet Another Better unit !! so as to achieve its stated accuracy / via suitable precision of calibration.) Seems simple, but

Discretion about the 'simple' scheme is also needed:

for some purposes, a 'standard' need not be better than say, 3-4X of the DUT (device under test.) But where you need to use an instrument (scope) near its limits - you might need a 8-10X-better specification. I'm sorry, but -- "mensuration" (measuring stuff) is concerned with statistical methods and sometimes a lot of math/physics: especially in the last few decimal points.

There's no easy slogan that covers all projects. Remember though, that a scope is a nom. "3%" device, typically. They can be 'tweaked' to achieve ~1% on most Teks. Crystal timebases (as in some hP units, later Tek models) and outboard counters are what you use <with the scope> -- when 3% isn't good enough. Similarly: any precision DC power supply can verify the accuracy of all the attenuation steps - you don't *need* to use the specific generators, handy as these are.

As to the "levelled" sine wave gens, used to verify bandwidth of a scope: if you lack one, do remember that you can employ a different approach to this problem -- use of a fast-enough, clean pulse generator and rather simple math, can verify 'Risetime', surely to around "one dB difference" in sinewave terminology, say. The only algebra needed to convert this figure to 'bandwidth' is: a 350 MHz scope will be capable of a "1 nSec rise time." (You can even say it without 'algebra' ;-)

(You use the "square root of the sum-of-the-squares" approach.) The faster your test pulse, the less the %error, when you "subtract out" the claimed/verified Tr of the pulser itself, since what you see on the scope display IS: The rms (root-mean-square) summation of the various risetimes; those of the generator itself, any cabling mismatches, the scope preamp.. on through final vertical amp and the CRT's own limits.

Finally - I'm not sure where you are heading with all this (?) It can never/rarely? make economic sense to acquire a complete set of calibration equipment: to calibrate one scope! (even periodically.) This becomes more certain: the faster the one scope you need calibrated. Can you borrow, rent a generator or two - or farm out the scope calibration?

See, many regulars here possess truly phenomenal arrays of equipment, personal labs which --10+ years ago -- would have cost \$100K USD (some, much more than that figure - a Spec Analyzer alone could cost 30-60K.) But to repair audio amps, for one example: requires neither this precision nor, much of an array of equipment -- unless you mean to design something with vanishing levels of THD or Intermodulation distortion -- from scratch.

That would make you a fledgling engineer (?) If so: then, carry on collecting neat-o equipment. It's what Engineers Do, especially when it's being given away: like nowadays.

My perhaps too-many-¢ worth

Luck, Ashton

san

| nsagazzzz wrote: |
|---|
| Is not possible to use products from other brand like Hewlett Packard ? i was not lucky finding all the modules that i need to calibrate the Tektronix OSC, want to know if purchase some products from HP will be possible. |
| Regards Sam |
| In TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> , "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@> wrote:</denis.cobley@></mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> |
| Hi Sam |
| You will need a TM5006 to use that one (leaves room for the SG5030 500MHz levelled sinewave generator or SG503/504 package. |
| Regards |
| Denis |
| From: TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com>] On</mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com></mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> |
| Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:24 PM To: TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC</mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> |
| if i purhcase an CG5011 wich other modules i think? I found an guy that sell it for 700USD in Ebay. |
| i can save some bucks in shipping fee if purchase just one |
| equipment |
| from one guy instad purchase the mainframe + 3 or 4 modules :S |
| In TekScopes@ <mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:tekscopes%< td=""></mailto:tekscopes%<></mailto:tekscopes%40yahoogroups.com> |
| |
| |

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******* ******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have been scanned by SOPHOS ******

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

| s Reply | 🖕 Like | ≡More |
|---------|--------|--|
| | | 2007_06_28 (https://groups.jo/g/TekScopes/message/20281) |



2007-06-28 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/2 9281)

6/27/22, 9:50 AM

Thanks Denis.

---- In TekScopes@..., "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@...> wrote:

Nicely put Ashton

Also if you own that cal gear you need to get it calibrated too -

which requires more gear of higher accuracy - called the Traceability

chain.

For a one off use a DMM and adjustable power supply for vertical

gain - most DMM's have very good specs on DC compared to a scope.

Bandwidth - not important as you either have enough or not.

Timebase - most of the earlier Tek scopes had Calibrator Out

functions - the 24XX range could produce crystal accurate outputs from 5Hz to 5MHz - perfect for checking timebases on any scope.

The supply mains is also very accurate compared to a scope to the

output from any AC wall wart or LV transformer will give you a good stable 50/60Hz (or 100/120 rectified).

However, if you need to adjust the scope then often you need the

right gear.

Tek scopes seldom need adjustment unless repairs have been done to

calibrated sections of the scope.

Regards

Denis

From: TekScopes@... [mailto:TekScopes@...]

On Behalf Of Ashton Brown

Sent: Friday, 29 June 2007 9:19 AM To: Tekscopes Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

Sam, I think the same 'rules' apply across any brand: the general

idea

that, you want a signal source to be capable of better accuracy

than

what you're testing (and hopefully: calibrated by Yet Another

Better

unit !! so as to achieve its stated accuracy / via suitable

precision of

calibration.) Seems simple, but

Discretion about the 'simple' scheme is also needed: for some purposes, a 'standard' need not be better than say, 3-4X

of the

DUT (device under test.) But where you need to use an instrument

(scope)

near its limits - you might need a 8-10X-better specification. I'm sorry, but -- "mensuration" (measuring stuff) is concerned with statistical methods and sometimes a lot of math/physics: especially

in

the last few decimal points.

There's no easy slogan that covers all projects. Remember though,

that a

scope is a nom. "3%" device, typically. They can be 'tweaked' to achieve ~1% on most Teks. Crystal timebases (as in some hP units,

later

Tek models) and outboard counters are what you use <with the

scope> --

when 3% isn't good enough. Similarly: any precision DC power supply

can

verify the accuracy of all the attenuation steps - you don't *need*

to

use the specific generators, handy as these are.

As to the "levelled" sine wave gens, used to verify bandwidth of a

scope:

if you lack one, do remember that you can employ a different

approach to

this problem -- use of a fast-enough, clean pulse generator and

rather

simple math, can verify 'Risetime', surely to around "one dB

difference"

in sinewave terminology, say. The only algebra needed to convert

this

figure to 'bandwidth' is: a 350 MHz scope will be capable of a "1

nSec

rise time." (You can even say it without 'algebra' ;-)

(You use the "square root of the sum-of-the-squares" approach.) The faster your test pulse, the less the %error, when you "subtract

out'

the claimed/verified Tr of the pulser itself, since what you see on

the

scope display IS: The rms (root-mean-square) summation of the

various

risetimes; those of the generator itself, any cabling mismatches,

the

scope preamp.. on through final vertical amp and the CRT's own

limits

Finally - I'm not sure where you are heading with all this (?) It can never/rarely? make economic sense to acquire a complete set

of

calibration equipment: to calibrate one scope! (even periodically.) This becomes more certain: the faster the one scope you need

calibrated.

Can you borrow, rent a generator or two - or farm out the scope

calibration?

See, many regulars here possess truly phenomenal arrays of

equipment,

personal labs which --10+ years ago -- would have cost \$100K USD

(some,

much more than that figure - a Spec Analyzer alone could cost 30-

60K.)

But to repair audio amps, for one example: requires neither this precision nor, much of an array of equipment -- unless you mean to design something with vanishing levels of THD or Intermodulation distortion -- from scratch.

That would make you a fledgling engineer (?) If so: then, carry on collecting neat-o equipment. It's what

Engineers

| Do, especially when it's being given away: like nowadays. |
|--|
| My perhaps too-many-¢ worth |
| Luck, Ashton |
| samsagazzzz wrote: |
| Is not possible to use products from other brand like Hewlett |
| Packard ? i was not lucky finding all the modules that i need to |
| calibrate the Tektronix OSC, want to know if purchase some |

products

from HP will be possible.

Regards Sam

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com> , "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@>

Hi Sam

wrote:

You will need a TM5006 to use that one (leaves room for the

SG5030

| DOM | Hz levelle | d sinewave g | enerator or S | 6G503/504 p | ackage. | | |
|------|--------------|---|---------------|-------------|---------|------|--|
| egar | rds | | | | | | |
| enis | ; | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| _ | T 1 0 | 0 | | 0/ | | | |
| rom: | | es@ <mail< td=""><td>o: lekScopes</td><td>\$%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></mail<> | o: lekScopes | \$% | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%40yahoogroups.com>]

| חל | | | |
|--|---------|--|--|
| | | | |
| Behalf Of samsagazzzz | | | |
| Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:2 | 24 PM | | |
| To: TekScopes@ <mailto:teks< td=""><td>Scopes%</td><td></td><td></td></mailto:teks<> | Scopes% | | |

40yahoogroups.com>

Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC

if i purhcase an CG5011 wich other modules i think? I found an

guy

that sell it for 700USD in Ebay.

i can save some bucks in shipping fee if purchase just one

equipment

from one guy instad purchase the mainframe + 3 or 4 modules :S

--- In TekScopes@... <mailto:TekScopes%

40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:TekScopes%

------ snip >----- save old Tekscope posts

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

******* All emails handled by TRIO Smartcal Pty Ltd's Exchange have

been scanned by SOPHOS *******

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Reply

👍 Like

 \equiv More

2007-06-28 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/29282)



--- Denis Cobley <denis.cobley@...> wrote:

Nicely put Ashton

Also if you own that cal gear you need to get it calibrated too - which requires more gear of higher accuracy - called the Traceability chain.

For a one off use a DMM and adjustable power supply for vertical gain - most DMM's have very good specs on DC compared to a scope.

I did find a few % off when comparing against my Fluke 175. If I was to take the Fluke accuracy as a base for my testing, it looks like the Tek scope is not that accurate for determining voltage accurately.

John

Boardwalk for \$500? In 2007? Ha! Play Monopoly Here and Now (it's updated for today's economy) at Yahoo! Games. http://get.games.yahoo.com/proddesc?gamekey=monopolyherenow (http://get.games.yahoo.com/proddesc?gamekey=monopolyherenow)

A Reply
 Like
 E More
2007-06-28
 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/29283)
Thanks Ashton, i need to re-read all what you type because my english
is not very good, but i understand the mainly of your message, and
you are right, i really dont need very good equipment, i just

you are right, i really dont need very good equipment, i just purchasing equipment from USA and sell here in Argentina, im interested in sell the scopes calibrated!, Some times have some faults and i fix it, other times are really non calibrated, i just want to fix it and sell it in good conditions.

Maybe i will waste my money if purchase some calibrator stuff, i mainly want to calibrate less than 400-50mhz scopes, People here just use 100mhz oscilloscopes, and for example noone have tektronix ones, is really strange to see people that have that brand in equipment, here we use all chinese shits. our economy really sux.

--- In TekScopes@..., Ashton Brown <ashton@...> wrote:

Sam, I think the same 'rules' apply across any brand: the general

idea

that, you want a signal source to be capable of better accuracy

than

what you're testing (and hopefully: calibrated by Yet Another

Better

unit !! so as to achieve its stated accuracy / via suitable

precision of

| calibration.) Seems simple, but |
|---|
| Discretion about the 'simple' scheme is also needed: for some purposes, a 'standard' need not be better than say, 3-4X |

of the

DUT (device under test.) But where you need to use an instrument

(scope)

near its limits - you might need a 8-10X-better specification. I'm sorry, but -- "mensuration" (measuring stuff) is concerned with statistical methods and sometimes a lot of math/physics: especially

in

the last few decimal points.

There's no easy slogan that covers all projects. Remember though,

that a

scope is a nom. "3%" device, typically. They can be 'tweaked' to achieve \sim 1% on most Teks. Crystal timebases (as in some hP units,

later

Tek models) and outboard counters are what you use <with the

scope> --

when 3% isn't good enough. Similarly: any precision DC power

supply can

verify the accuracy of all the attenuation steps - you don't *need*

to

use the specific generators, handy as these are.

As to the "levelled" sine wave gens, used to verify bandwidth of a

scope:

if you lack one, do remember that you can employ a different

approach to

this problem -- use of a fast-enough, clean pulse generator and

rather

simple math, can verify 'Risetime', surely to around "one dB

difference'

in sinewave terminology, say. The only algebra needed to convert

this

figure to 'bandwidth' is: a 350 MHz scope will be capable of a "1

nSec

rise time." (You can even say it without 'algebra' ;-)

(You use the "square root of the sum-of-the-squares" approach.) The faster your test pulse, the less the %error, when you "subtract

out"

the claimed/verified Tr of the pulser itself, since what you see on

the

scope display IS: The rms (root-mean-square) summation of the

various

risetimes; those of the generator itself, any cabling mismatches,

the

scope preamp.. on through final vertical amp and the CRT's own

limits.

Finally - I'm not sure where you are heading with all this (?) It can never/rarely? make economic sense to acquire a complete set

of

calibration equipment: to calibrate one scope! (even periodically.) This becomes more certain: the faster the one scope you need

calibrated.

Can you borrow, rent a generator or two - or farm out the scope

calibration?

See, many regulars here possess truly phenomenal arrays of

equipment,

personal labs which --10+ years ago -- would have cost \$100K USD

(some

much more than that figure - a Spec Analyzer alone could cost 30-

60K.)

But to repair audio amps, for one example: requires neither this precision nor, much of an array of equipment -- unless you mean to design something with vanishing levels of THD or Intermodulation distortion -- from scratch.

That would make you a fledgling engineer (?) If so: then, carry on collecting neat-o equipment. It's what

Engineers

Do, especially when it's being given away: like nowadays.

My perhaps too-many-¢ worth

Luck, Ashton

samsagazzzz wrote:

Is not possible to use products from other brand like

Hewlett

Packard ? i was not lucky finding all the modules that i need to calibrate the Tektronix OSC, want to know if purchase some

products

from HP will be possible.

Regards Sam

--- In TekScopes@..., "Denis Cobley" <denis.cobley@> wrote:

Hi Sam

You will need a TM5006 to use that one (leaves room for the

SG5030

| Regards | | | |
|------------------|---|------|--|
| Denis | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | · | | |
| From: TekScopes@ | | | |
| From: Tekscopes@ | | | |

[mailto:TekScopes@...]

On Behalf Of samsagazzzz Sent: Monday, 11 June 2007 5:24 PM To: TekScopes@... Subject: Re: SV: [TekScopes] How to Calibrate an Tektronix OSC if i purhcase an CG5011 wich other modules i think? I found an

guy

| that sell it for 700USD in Ebay. | | |
|--|--|---|
| i can save some bucks in shipp | ping fee if purchase just one | |
| equipment | | |
| from one guy instad purchase | the mainframe + 3 or 4 modules :S | |
| In TekScopes@ <mailto:t< td=""><td>ekScopes%</td><td></td></mailto:t<> | ekScopes% | |
| snip > save old Te | kscope posts | |
| eply | ∎ Like | = |
| samsagazzzz | | 2007-06-29 Ø (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/2 |
| TekScopes@, John Chung <kravnu< td=""><td>s@> wrote:</td><td></td></kravnu<> | s@> wrote: | |
| Denis Cobley <denis.cobley@> vrote:</denis.cobley@> | | |
| Nicely put Ashton | | |
| Also if you own that cal gear you n calibrated too - which requires mor | eed to get it e gear of higher | |
| accuracy - called the Traceability c | hain. | |
| accuracy - called the Traceability c For a one off use a DMM and adjus for vertical gain - most DMM's have on DC compared to a scope. | hain. stable power supply ∋ very good specs | |
| accuracy - called the Traceability of For a one off use a DMM and adju- for vertical gain - most DMM's have on DC compared to a scope. I did find a few % off when comparing Fluke 175. If I was to take the Fluke ac pase for my testing, it looks like the Tel not that accurate for determining voltage | hain. stable power supply e very good specs against my curacy as a ς scope is μe accurately. | |
| accuracy - called the Traceability of For a one off use a DMM and adju- for vertical gain - most DMM's have on DC compared to a scope. | hain. stable power supply e very good specs against my curacy as a < scope is je accurately. | |
| accuracy - called the Traceability c For a one off use a DMM and adju- for vertical gain - most DMM's have on DC compared to a scope. I did find a few % off when comparing Fluke 175. If I was to take the Fluke ac base for my testing, it looks like the Tel not that accurate for determining voltage John | hain. stable power supply e very good specs against my curacy as a < scope is je accurately. | |

updated for today's economy) at Yahoo! Games.

http://get.games.yahoo.com/proddesc?gamekey=monopolyherenow (http://get.games.yahoo.com/proddesc?gamekey=monopolyherenow) (http://get.games.gamekey=monopolyherenow) (http://get.games.gamekey=monopolyherenow) (http://get.games.gamekey=monopolyherenow) (http://get.gamekey=monopolyherenow) (http://get.gamekey=monopolyherenow) (http://get.gamekey=monopolyherenow) (http://

💼 Like

 \equiv More

-

| | 2007-09-17 samsagazzzz | (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/message/30843) |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| l reall calibr crash need | really need again the info about the products that i need to calibrate the osc, i will purchase it this week, but i get my HD crashed where i have stored all the info about the products taht i need :(| |
| i wan | want to spend 1000 to 1500USD | |
| In | In TekScopes@, John Chung <kravnus@> wrote:</kravnus@> | |
| w | Denis Cobley <denis.cobley@> wrote:</denis.cobley@> | |
| 1 | Nicely put Ashton | |
| | Also if you own that cal gear you need to get it calibrated too - which requires more gear of higher accuracy - called the Traceability chain. For a one off use a DMM and adjustable power supply | |
| | for vertical gain - most DMM's have very good specs on DC compared to a scope. | |
| F b. Ju | Fluke 1/5. If I was to take the Fluke accuracy as a base for my testing, it looks like the Tek scope is not that accurate for determining voltage accurately. John | |
| В | Boardwalk for \$500? In 2007? Ha! Play Monopoly Here and Now (it's | |
| updat | updated for today's economy) at Yahoo! Games. | |
| h | http://get.games.yahoo.com/proddesc?gamekey=monopolyherenow (http://get.games.yahoo.com/proddesc?gamekey= | y=monopolyherenow) |
| 🕈 Re | ▶ Reply | ■More |
| 24 | <pre> (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/topic/how_to_calibrate_an_tektronix/7637993? p=Created%2C%2C20%2C1%2C0%2C0%3A%3A%2C%2C%2C0%2C0%2C0%2C7637993&prev=1) </pre> | |
| 21 - 39 of 39 | 1 - 9 of 1 (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/topic/how_to_calibrate_an_tektronix/7637993? 9 p=Created%2C%2C%2C20%2C1%2C0%2C0%3A%3A%2C%2C%2C0%2C0%2C0%2C7637993&jump=1) | |
| | 2 > | |
| ← (ht | ← (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/topic/7638529?p=%2C%2C%2C20%2C0%2C0%2C0%3A%3A%2C%2C%2C0%2C0%2C0%2C7638529 | |
| → (ht | → (https://groups.io/g/TekScopes/topic/7638530?p=%2C%2C%2C20%2C0%2C0%2C0%3A%3A%2C%2C%2C0%2C0%2C0%2C7638530 | |
| | | |